

F-2006 00785

(b)(3)
(b)(6)

March 10, 2006

Central Intelligence Agency
Scott A. Koch
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Koch:

Pursuant to the provisions of the Freedom of Information act, I respectfully request a copy of the intelligence assessment written by Paul Pillar two months before the U.S. invaded Iraq (see enclosed description). This assessment was previously requested by Mr. David Martin of CBS News.

I agree to pay up to \$25 for costs associated with this noncommercial request.

Sincerely,

2006 MAR 22 PM 3:03

APPROVED FOR RELEASE DATE:
08-26-2010

PublicEye

[Public Eye Home](#)
[Public Eye Bios](#)
[E-mail Public Eye](#)
[Send In A Tip](#)
[About Public Eye](#)

[XML Public Eye RSS Feed](#)
[XML CBS News RSS Feeds](#)

[+ Add To Favorites](#)

BROWSE TOPICS

[+ Mega-Media Trends](#)
[+ Behind The Scenes](#)
[+ Media Issues](#)
[+ Outside Voices](#)
[+ Blog Buzz](#)
[+ 10 Plus 1](#)
[+ How It Works](#)
[+ CBS News Issues](#)
[+ All About Us](#)
[+ 4th Estate Debate](#)

BROWSE AUTHORS

[+ Vaughn Ververs](#)
[+ Brian Montopoli](#)
[+ Hillary Profita](#)
[+ Dick Meyer](#)

BROWSE ARCHIVES

March
06
GO

Sun Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri Sat
 1 2 3 4
 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
 26 27 28 29 30 31

[+ About The Blog Roll](#)

media
 CJR
 Cyberjournalist
 Editor & Publisher
 The Editors Weblog

March 10, 2006

[Next Post](#) | [Previous Post](#)**David Martin Dispatch: Doing The Old Potomac Two-Step**

Pentagon correspondent David Martin shares one of the better tales of a bureaucratic run-around you might ever hear from a network correspondent.

For the past several months, I've been trying to get my hands on a copy of an intelligence assessment written two months before the U.S. invaded Iraq which warned that unless American

troops moved quickly to restore essential services and provide security they would be viewed as occupiers, not liberators. In other words, it predicted exactly what happened, but it was ignored by senior officials in the Bush administration who insisted right up to the day of the invasion that American troops would be greeted as liberators.

Normally, an intelligence assessment like that is a highly classified document, but in this case I had been told that an unclassified version had been prepared by the CIA in the summer of 2003 in anticipation of releasing it publicly. So I called the CIA public affairs office and asked for a copy. The answer was that the assessment had been prepared by an organization called the National Intelligence Council. Since that's not in the CIA's budget, the assessment was never released, and so it was called, no longer came under the CIA. It now reports to the newly-created Director of National Intelligence. So I called DNI public affairs. They told me I would have to file a Freedom of Information Act request. I filed an FOIA. Last month, I received my response. It said that since the assessment was written in January of 2003 when the National Intelligence Council was still under the CIA, my request was being forwarded to the CIA. I was back where I started from. This week I requested a copy of the assessment and was told that it had been forwarded to the CIA. Since there are no FOIA requests, there's no telling when they'll get to mine. I'd call that a bureaucratic run-around.

At exactly the same time I was playing hide-the-memo with the Director of National Intelligence, the author of the assessment, a now retired CIA officer named Paul Pillar, was writing an article for Foreign Affairs magazine in which he reviewed the assessment and found it to be accurate. He also found it to be true that how the Bush administration had simply disregarded any pre-war intelligence which didn't fit their drama plan. Refine that article would be published if it had been reviewed by the CIA to make sure it didn't contain any classified information. Why did I get the run-around while Pillar was given permission to publish? Only the bureaucracy knows. Someday I'll get a response to my FOIA request. The document will no longer be newsworthy by then, but that's O.K. When Pillar's

Posted by [Vaughn Ververs](#) at 2:30 PM : March 10, 2006


[+ Behind The Scenes](#)

[+ E-mail this story](#)

[+ Printable version](#)

Advertisement

CBSNEWS.COM



SPECIAL REPORT

THE LIFE & TIMES OF EDWARD R. MURROW

FIND OUT MORE



Advertisement

SPECIAL REPORT

THE LIFE & TIMES OF EDWARD R. MURROW

EDITOR'S PICKS

[E-Mailbag: Questions And Answers About Questions And Answers](#)

[When It Comes To Editorializing, Where Is The Line?](#)

[It's How You're Labeled, Not What You Say That Counts](#)

[Study Says Sunday Shows Have Conservative Bias](#)

[Cheney, The Sheriff And The Secret Service. Did CBS Misfire? Questions On CBS' Coverage Of Shooting Incident](#)

[Wondering Why Those Olympics Highlights Can Be Hard To Find? Looking At The Restrictions For The Games](#)

Page Denied